Chapter 5

Clause Types

5.1 Introduction

A clause is "...a unit consisting of a predicate and nouns related to it..." (Longacre 2007: 372).

5.2 Predicate Types

A predicate "...defines the type situation and the roles..." while arguments are the grammatical category, generally noun phrases, that fulfill the roles (Andrews 2007: 135). The predicate in Bru Khok Sa-at, may contain either a verb phrase, adjective (stative verb) phrase, or copula phrase. For more on these phrases see sections 4.6 Verb Phrase, 4.6.4 Adjective (Stative Verb) Phrases, or 4.6.5 Copula Phrase.

5.2.1 Verbal Predicates

A verbal predicate contains a verb. Verbs are often lexically grouped by valence. Valence "refers to the number of dependents that a head may take," (Van Valin 2001: 92).

5.2.1.1 Intransitive

Intransitive clauses are indicated by an intransitive verb which has only one argument, the subject (Dryer 2007b: 250). A subject is "the most prominent of the grammatical relations which a noun phrase may bear in a clause," (Trask 1993: 266).

C = NP + VP

Example (162) shows an intransitive clause.

Big Snake Son-in-law 180

(162) alaj neam paleaj?

Pro Vi Adv

3P cry very

They cried a lot.

5.2.1.2 Transitive

Transitive clauses are indicated by a transitive verb which has two arguments, the subject and an object (Dryer 2007b: 250). An object is "a generic term for any noun phrase occupying an argument position other than the subject," (Trask 1993: 193).

$$C = NP + VP + NP$$

Example (163) shows a transitive clause.

Seven Orphans 73

(163) kəm muaj na? hum kal kap
$$\varepsilon$$
h ε m Num Clf Vt N N Adj

child one Clf_person see tree gabeh.fruit another One of the children saw another gabeh tree.

5.2.1.3 Ditransitive

Ditransitive clauses are indicated by a ditransitive verb and contain at least two non-subject arguments (Dryer 2007b: 253).

$$C = NP + VP + NP + NP$$

Example (164) shows a ditransitive clause (with a fronted object).

Grandfather Ghost 85

head and skin deer 3P give older.brother child orphan They gave the head and the skin of the deer to the older orphan child.

5.2.2 Non-Verbal Predicates

Non-verbal predicate clauses do not include a verb in the predicate and often employ a copula or no verb at all (Dryer 2007b: 224-225).

5.2.2.1 Adjectival Predicates

Adjectival predicate refers to a property of the subject (Stassen 2006: 693). Bru Khok Sa-at does not normally use non-verbal adjectival predicates because adjectives are most likely a form of stative verb (see 2.4.2 Adjectives as Verbs and 4.6.4 Adjective (Stative Verb) Phrases).

Example (165) shows a stative verb.

Seven Orphans 6

(165) te: ba: na?

ta: rua? le:w

Coordconn Num Clf

Neg Adj Prt

but

two Clf_person NEG happy Prt_UNK

But they were not happy.

Example (166) shows the only example of a possible adjectival predicate. However, it may also be a nominal predicate with the noun elided.

Big Snake Son-in-law 73

(166) pen mac

paleaj?

Cop Adj

Adv

be beautiful very

...[he] was very handsome.

5.2.2.2 Nominal Predicates

Nominal predicates refer to a noun class (Stassen 2006: 693). Bru Khok Sa-at uses a copula. For more on copulas see 2.3.2 Copulas and 4.6.5 Copula Phrase.

$$C = NP + Cop + NP$$

Example (167) shows a nominal predicate clause with a copula.

Buyeang Fish 5

(167) sem

pen kuaj o

N

Cop N Ad

younger.sibling be person good

...the younger brother was a good person.

5.2.2.3 Existential Predicates

Existential clauses state the existence of something or introduce a new character or location to the reader (Dryer 2007b: 241).

$$C = (NP) + Cop + NP$$

Example (168) shows an existential clause indicated by the copula burn 'EXIST'.

Buyeang Fish 2

(168) buen kryan myaj kryan

Cop N Num

EXIST city one Clf_city

Clf

...there was a city.

Example (169) shows a negative existential clause.

Buyeang Fish 52

(169) tran ka? tx: burn

N Coordconn Neg Cop

animal so NEG EXIST

"And there aren't any animals either."

5.3 Speech Acts

In speaking we not only describe situations but also perform actions. In certain circumstances and ceremonies, the very act of speaking is the action. Different types of sentences identify different purposes for speaking. However, context and other factors, such as intonation, may help to distinguish between speech acts more specifically (König and Siemund 2007: 276-277).

5.3.1 Declarative

Declarative sentences assert or deny the truth of a proposition (Kroeger 2005: 196). Examples (170) and (171) show declarative sentences. In Bru Khok Sa-at, declaratives are unmarked, not requiring either a particle or an auxiliary. Big Snake Son-in-law 180

(170) alaj neam paleaj?

Pro Vi Adv

3P cry very

They cried a lot.

Grandfather Ghost 4

(171) mpe? mpoa kutsit tah nei N N Vi Vt Prt

mother father die leave Prt_completive

[His] father and mother had died and left [him with no family] at all.

5.3.2 Interrogative

Interrogative sentences question the truth of a proposition or request more information about it (Kroeger 2005: 196).

5.3.2.1 Open Questions

Open questions, also called content questions, have an unlimited number of possible answers at least in theory. They generally contain a question word (Kroeger 2005: 203).⁷

Example (172) shows an open question using sa: $l\epsilon$? 'how' and the interrogative particle $b\alpha$:

Seven Orphans 130

IRR lie how 1P_inc sleep then NEG fall Prt_question

How will [we] lie down so that when we sleep [we] won't fall [out of the tree]?"

Open questions do not always require particles.

Example (173) shows a content question without a particle, but where the quote margin uses the verb *abhuh* 'to ask'. It uses the interrogative pro-form $l\varepsilon$? 'where'. Seven Orphans 70

The father asked, "How big is the tree?" [Lit. The big tree equals where?]

Example (174) shows a content question without a particle, but where the quote margin uses the verb *abluh* 'to ask'. It uses the interrogative pro-form *ntraw* 'what'. Seven Orphans 177

The eldest brother saw them and so asked, "Sisters, what are you looking for?"

who, ama?; what, ntraw; where, lε?; how, nεw lε?; how, sa: lε?; why, mpε?

Example (175) shows a content question without a particle, but where the quote margin uses the verb *abluh* 'to ask'. It uses the interrogative pro-form *ama?* 'who' and *mpe?* 'why'.

Wild Buffalo Ear 70

come steam rice.cooked live in house 1P_exc

So the grandchild went up and asked [her], "Who are you? Why have you come to steam rice and live in our house?"

Example (176) shows a content question without a particle or using the verb *abhuh* 'to ask' in the quote margin. However, it does contain the interrogative pro-form *le?* 'where' and the context makes the question clear.

Seven Orphans 26

(176)
$$mpai$$
 $pA?$ $p^ho:t$ $pA?$ $le?$

N Vi Vt Vi Pro

wife go abandon go where

Wife - "Where will you go abandon [them]?"

5.3.2.2 Closed Questions

Closed questions only have a limited set of responses. They typically do not contain a question word (Kroeger 2005: 203). Closed questions include polar interrogative questions and alternating questions.

Polar interrogative questions ask about the truth of a proposition and usually are answered by words indicating 'yes' or 'no' (König & Siemund 2007: 291).

Examples (177) and (178) show polar interrogative questions indicated by a question particle.

Grandfather Ghost 32

grandfather older.brother child orphan LOC Prt_question

When they arrived at the grandfather's house, they said, "Grandfather, is the older orphan child here?"

Seven Orphans 87

(178)
$$t$$
\(\alpha\)? don t \(\begin{array}{ll}\text{if.}: & mpai \left| \text{x:j} & abluh & mai \text{pho:} \text{pho:} \text{to vt} \\ \text{Vt} & N & Prt & N & Coordconn & Vt & Pro & Vt \\ \text{come house Prt_seq_completive wife so ask 2S abandon} \)
$$\widehat{t}$$
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text{if.}: & \text{b2:} & t^haw & \text{Prt} & Adj & \text{Adj} \end{array}

Prt_seq_completive Prt_question old

[When he] had arrived at the house, the wife asked [him], "Have you abandoned [them], old one?"

Example (179) shows how the question asked in example (178) is answered with a repetition of the verb phrase.

Seven Orphans 88

(179)
$$p^h o : t$$
 $\widehat{tfa} :$

Vt Prt

abandon Prt_seq_completive

"[I] have abandoned [them]."

Example (180) shows another polar interrogative question where the relative clause follows the question particle.

Wild Buffalo Ear 24

Example (181) shows that the question asked in example (180) is answered with the interjection α 'yes' followed by an imperative.

Wild Buffalo Ear 25

"Yes, [let's] take [it anyways]."

Example (182) shows another polar interrogative question indicated by a question particle.

Grandfather Ghost 60

Then they asked him, "Older orphan child, did [you] see the deer come out to you?"

Example (183) shows how the question is answered with a negative coupled with a repetition of the verb and then further explanation.

Grandfather Ghost 61

1S Prt intensifier

Pro

He said, "[I] did not see [it]; [I] did not see any animals or anything else at all come out of the jungle to me."

5.3.3 Imperative

Imperative sentences are "attempts to get or advise the hearer to do something" (König and Siemund 2007: 277). In Bru Khok Sa-at, imperatives are marked by the particles $\widehat{tfi?}$, $d\omega$, $m\varepsilon$? or $l\omega$ t at the end of the clause. Strong commands are marked by $\widehat{tfi?}$ and $l\omega$ t. Softened commands are marked by $d\omega$ and $m\varepsilon$?.

Example (184) shows a command with \widehat{tfi} ?.

Buyeang Fish 13

Example (185) shows a command with $d\omega$, which is by far the most common imperative particle used in the texts.

Seven Orphans 46

Example (186) shows a command with $m\varepsilon$?.

1P_inc invite RECIP go watch Prt_soft_command "We will help each other to go and look [at it], OK."

Example (187) shows a command with lot.

Seven Orphans 31

5.4 Variation in Clausal Structure

This thesis has examined typical clausal structure, but many variations in clauses exist. Further research on clausal variation would be helpful. However a preliminary analysis is offered.

5.4.1 Ellipsis

Ellipsis is the "omission of an element which is understood from the context, often because it has been previously mentioned," (Kroeger 2005: 344). Bru Khok Sa-at regularly elides elements. Subject elision is especially common. For other possible examples of elided elements, see sections 2.4 Adjectives, 3.10.1 Coordinating Conjunctions, 4.2.2.1 Cardinals, 4.2.2.2 Ordinals, and 4.6.3 Serial Verbs. Example (188) shows that the only thing necessary for a clause is a verb phrase. All other components may be elided.

Seven Orphans 147

(188) atv:n

Vt

say

"[You] tell [me]."

Example (189) shows that with a ditransitive verb both the objects can be elided. Big Snake Son-in-law 12

(189)
$$k^h$$
an mpai jA ? burn jnD ? \widehat{tfin} $pkoa$? si pm

Subordconn Pro Vaux Vt N Adj Pro Vaux Vd

if $2P$ want have mango ripe $1S$ IRR give

"If you want to have my ripe mangoes, [I] will give [them to you].

5.4.2 Fronted Object Clauses

In Bru Khok Sa-at, objects are often fronted for topic prominence. Topicalization is a discourse feature that draws attention to the element, usually by moving it around within the clause (Keenan and Dryer 2007: 325). This is in contrast to topic markers, which usually operate on a larger discourse level. For more on topic markers, see section 3.13.2 Discourse Markers.

Example (190) shows a clause where the subject is elided and the object is fronted. Grandfather Ghost 52

Example (191) shows an entire noun phrase fronted with the subject still present. Big Snake Son-in-law 167

HON.female **pumpkin this** 2S be person plant Prt_question ..."Madam, about this pumpkin, were you the person who planted [it]?"

5.4.3 Cataphoric References

A cataphoric reference is "...a word or phrase which will be used later in the text..." (Richards et.al. 1985: 36).

Example (192) shows a generic subject later specified at the end of the clause. Wild Buffalo Ear 18

3P give meat 1P_inc many Clf_person Prt_seq_completive ...they have given us meat, many [hunters]."

5.5 Particles

Particles by their nature are used in a variety of ways and in a variety of positions within the clause. Particles in Bru Khok Sa-at are used to mark many different types of clauses. Particles can be classed into four categories: adverbial, modality, speech acts and aspect. Table 9 shows the type of particles as well as where the verbal particles typically appear within a clause. It also shows the number of examples that appear within the texts for this thesis. Due to the very limited number of instances for most particles, a detailed analysis of particles is not attempted here.

Table 9: Particles

Meaning	Particle	Туре	Location	Num of
				Examples
Completive	adv?	Adverbial	follows what modifies	11
Completive	dv?	Adverbial	end	2
Completive	ກຼຂ?	Adverbial	end, precedes what modifies	35
'all', 'finish',				
'completely'				
Completive	sot	Adverbial	end	8
Intensifier	lx:j	Adverbial	end	16
'really', 'at all'			7	
Intensifier	плŋ	Adverbial	end	5
'any more'				
Conclusion	dɔ:k	Modality	end	3
Consider	na:	Modality	end	4
Consider	nv?	Modality	end	4
Contradiction	dɔːk	Modality	end	7
Evidential	dajh	Modality	end	1
Evidential	e: la?	Modality	end	3
Evidential	la?	Modality	end	4
'like that'				
Sympathy	t∫am	Modality	end	1
Command	lo:t	Speech Act	end	2
Command	t͡ʃiʔ	Speech Act	end	1
'sure'				
Informative	də:	Speech Act	end	8
Question	bo:	Speech Act	end	12

Meaning	Particle	Туре	Location	Num of	
				Examples	
Soft_command	də:	Speech Act	end	21	
Soft_command	тє?	Speech Act	end	6	
Seq_completive	t͡ʃʌː	Aspect	end	63	
UNK	le:w	Unknown	end .	6	

5.5.1 Particle Type

The following section discusses the four categories of particles in Bru Khok Sa-at.

5.5.1.1 Adverbial

Six particles out of twenty-two (27%) seem to serve adverbial functions.

Example (193) shows a completive particle that follows what it modifies.

Wild Buffalo Ear 115

(193) kumen an k^h o:j do:j adv2

daughter-in-law 3S steam rice.cooked Prt_completive

...the daughter-in-law was steaming all the rice.

Example (194) shows a completive particle that may precede what it modifies or may be clause final. Both are used in this sentence.

Seven Orphans 82

(194) mpoa kut parj kom ne? tapul na? kutsit

N Vi Subordconn N Prt Num Clf Vi

father think COMP child Prt_completive seven Clf_person die

ne?

Prt Prt

Prt_completive Prt_seq_completive

The father thought that all of the seven children were completely dead.

Example (195) shows an example of the most common intensifier particle.

Seven Orphans 174

(195) te: kamo ki: an ta: buen docj tsa: ly:j

Coordconn Clf Det Pro Neg Vt N Vt Prt

but Clf_year that 3S NEG have rice eat Prt_intensifier But that year, he did not have any cooked rice to eat at all.

5.5.1.2 Modality

Eight particles out of twenty-two (36%) seem to indicate modality.

Example (196) shows the most common particle indicating a type of modality.

Seven Orphans 138

Pro Neg Vaux Vt Pro Prt

2P NEG must fear 1S Prt contradiction

...you all do not have to fear me."

5.5.1.3 Speech Acts

Six particles out of twenty-two (27%) seem to indicate speech acts. For more on speech act particles see the section 5.3 Speech Acts.

Example (197) shows the most common speech act particle in the corpus.

Seven Orphans 12

Vt Pro Vt N Quant Prep N N Prt

allow 1S have child many like child crab Prt_soft_command "Please let me have many children just like the baby crabs."

5.5.1.4 Aspect

One particle out of twenty two (5%) is used to show a sequential completive aspect.

Example (198) shows a clause with a sequential completive particle.

Seven Orphans 54

(198) ajea?
$$t^h$$
aw ta? \widehat{tfa} : k^h o:j p:jn \widehat{tfi} :n N Adj Vt Vt N Adj

grandmother old make/do eat steam bawng.root ripe

tʃạ:

Prt

Prt_seq_completive

...the old grandmother had made food and steamed the bawng root until it was ready.

5.5.2 Co-occurrences

Some particles are located near what they modify in the clause. However, most appear at the end of a clause. When more than one particle is used, there is some variation to which comes first. Given the limited data, no attempt is made to establish an order for most of the particles in Bru Khok Sa-at. However, Table 10 shows which particles co-occur in the data. The column at the left shows which particle occurs first, and the row across the top shows which appears second. There are no examples of three different particles co-occuring in the data.

Table 10: Particle Co-occurrences

1/2	ade?	bo:	də:	la?	le:w	lo:t	na:	ງາຮູ?	t∫∆:
ade?			х						
po:									Ċ
də:									
la?									
le:w							_		
lo:t			х				x		
na:								7	
ກຼເ?									х
t∫∆:		х	х	x	х		5		

Examples (199) through (201) show different combinations of particles. Seven Orphans 80

child receive Prt_completive Prt_soft_command

"Children, make sure you catch all of [the fruit]!"

Grandfather Ghost 48

NEG must fear believe Prt_command Prt_consider "Don't be afraid. You can depend on me for sure."

Seven Orphans 90

(201) alaj kutstit tsa:

Pro Vi Prt

o Vi Prt Prt

3P die Prt_seq_completive Prt_evidential

"They have really died."

5.6 Conclusion

Clauses in Bru Khok Sa-at show SVO ordering, with the subject or object often elided within the discourse. Enfield remarks that verb-object order is common in MSEA languages (2005: 189). Bru Wyn Buek has the same order, and also has subject and object deletion (1981: 62-64). So is SVO as well with subject, object, and verb deletion within the discourse context (Migliazza 1998: 88-90). Kuy is SVO. However, it only has subject and object deletion (Bos 2009: 6-7). Pacoh is SVO, but only has subject deletion (Alves 2006: 39-40).

la?

Bru Khok Sa-at was analyzed as having intransitive, transitive, and ditransitive clauses. Pattiya in Bru Woen Buek also found these clause types along with several other clause types used in tagmemic analysis (1981: 30-41). Chollada in her description of So also records transitive, intransitive, and ditransitive clauses along with many other clause types in her tagmemic analysis (1986: 30-102).

Pattiya also analyzes adjectival predicates, by considering the adjectives themselves as predicates without using a copula (1981: 55-56). Chollada terms them descriptive clauses and classifies the adjective as the predicate (1986: 79-85). Bos considers that adjectival predicates are juxtaposed; however, they look identical in form to considering adjectives as stative verbs (2009: 10-11).

Bru Woen Buek also has nominal predicates using a copula (Pattiya 1981: 56-57). Chollada terms these as equational clauses in So and they may or may not include a copula (1986: 78-79). However, in Kuy copulas are not normally used for nominal predicates unless other tense, aspect, and modality markers are used (Bos 2009: 10). Pattiya analyzed Bru Woen Buek as having an existential clause without using a copula (1981: 57-58), in contrast to Bru Khok Sa-at. So also has an existential clause with one specific verb used to indicate this *bə:n* 'have, there is' (Chollada 1986: 85-89) which is probably the same verb used in Bru Khok Sa-at. Kuy does have a verb marking existential clauses, but it acts as a standard verb, not a copula (Bos 2009: 12-13).

Pattiya reported the Bru Wyn Buek also considers declarative as the basic mood. Closed questions are indicated by a clause final question particle. Open questions are indicated by question words without any particles. In contrast, imperative is marked by intonation without a particle or any other grammatical feature, unless it's a softened command or an urgent imperative when a particle may be used (1981: 87-99). So has question particles that indicate closed questions as well. Intonation may also be used to indicate closed questions. Open questions are indicated by question words and intonation (Chollada 1986: 109-120). Imperatives can be marked by both intonation and a variety of final particles. These particles can indicate whether the imperative clause is a request, a command, or a polite command (Chollada 1986: 103-109). Pacoh has question words that indicate open questions, while closed questions, imperatives, and mood are indicated by sentencefinal particles (Alves 2006: 42) as in Bru Khok Sa-at. Kuy declaratives also have no specific grammatical marking or intonational emphasis. Closed questions are marked by intonation or clause-final particles. Open questions are indicated by question words and rising intonation. Imperatives are marked by a clause final particle, which can also be used clause initially for emphasis (Bos 2009: 66-74). Given the textual basis of this thesis, intonation was not studied or considered.

Sentence-final particles are common in MSEA language (Enfield 2005: 190). The Bru Khok Sa-at corpus was analyzed as having twenty-two particles, twenty-one of which appear clause final. They indicate adverbial properties, aspect, and modality.