

SOCIO-ECONOMIC BASELINE SURVEY

PAI DISTRICT POCKET AREA

PAI DISTRICT, MAE HONG SON PROVINCE

Prasert Bhandhachat
G. Lamar Robert
Vachara Sindhuprama
Sriwan Wongcharoen
Kobgarn Phochanachai
Boonthong Poocharoen, Advisor

Payap Research and Development Institute
Payap University
Chiang Mai, Thailand

1991

PRDI Publication No. 81

FOREWORD

This socio-economic survey of the Pai District Pocket Area, Mae Hong Son Province, the Mae La Noi District Pocket Area, Mae Hong Son Province and the Mae Chaem District Pocket Area, Chiang Mai Province was accomplished by the Payap Research and Development Institute, Payap University for the Integrated Pocket Area Development Project (IPAD) during the period April-June 1991.

This socio-economic survey was accomplished by Payap University researchers assisted by teams of field enumerators. Field work was done over a one month period. Most of the remaining time was used to analyze the data obtained and prepare the final report. The specific data obtained and the method of analysis and presentation was designed to respond to key indicators of development provided to the Payap Research and Development Institute by IPAD.

The success of this survey activity is the result of cooperation between many individuals and institutions, both in government and the private sector including the district chiefs of Pai, Mae La Noi and Mae Chaem, IPAD personnel including the Head of the Project Advisory Office and the project Chief Technical To each of and the entire project staff. organizations and individuals the Payap Research and Development Institute wishes to extend our thanks. Our special thanks are also due to all the village leaders and farmers in the District, Mae La Noi District and Mae Chaem District who willingly answered the many questions of the Payap field interviewers. Special thanks are also due to Dr. Boonthong Poocharoen, Vice President for Academic Affairs, Payap University, who, in his capacity as Project Advisor, provided advice and encouragement from the outset of the project until its completion.

The final person the Payap Research and Development Institute wishes to give special thanks to is Dr. Amnuay Tapingkae, President of Payap University, who gave his permission for and supported the instructors of Payap University and the Payap Research and Development Institute in the successful accomplishment of this survey effort.

(Prasert Bhandhachat)
Director
Payap Research and
Development Institute

NOTES ON BASELINE SURVEY TABLES

TECHNICAL NOTES

- 1. n = Total number who responded to the question. Excludes, e.g., persons who could not remember how much rice they purchased in the past year.
- 2. VALID N = Total number who have a non-zero response to the question. For example, in the table on pig production, the VALID N is the total number of households raising pigs. In this case, n is the number of households which were surveyed regarding pig production.
- 3. TOTAL = In the right hand column is the total number responding in each village, i.e., the total for that village. TOTAL in the bottom row of each table is the total for the entire project area. Where totals would be inappropriate or likely to be confusing, they have been omitted.

NOTES ON THE DATA

- 1. Data on agricultural area owned and cultivated should be used with caution. Villagers often over or under estimate their land holdings for various reasons. The same caveat applies to a lesser extent to yields and values of crops sold.
- 2. Data on household expenses should be used with caution. It was often difficult for villagers to remember their expenses for an entire year.

Table of Contents

| | · 'I | Page |
|------------|--------------------------|------|
| Foreword . | | ii |
| Section 1. | VILLAGE AND AREA SUMMARY | 1 |
| Section 2. | VILLAGE PROFILE | 7 |
| Section 3. | HOUSEHOLD SURVEY | 35 |
| Section 4. | VILLAGE MAPS | 77 |
| Section 5. | APPENDICES | 89 |

List of Tables

| • | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| VILL. | AGE PROFILE | rage |
| V1. | VILLAGE COMMITTEE SITUATION AND ATTENDANCE OF VILLAGE REPRESENTATIVES AT TAMBON/DISTRICT | |
| ¥2. | MEETINGS VILLAGE COMMITTEE SITUATION AND ATTENDANCE OF VILLAGE REPRESENTATIVES AT TAMBON/DISTRICT MEETINGS (Cont.) | 26 |
| V3. | VILLAGE IN/OUT MIGRATION OVER THE PAST YEAR | 27 |
| v4. | VILLAGE YOUTH EDUCATION SITUATION | 28 |
| V5. | VILLAGE YOUTH EDUCATION SITUATION (Cont.) | 28 |
| V6. | ADULT EDUCATION IN THE VILLAGE | 29 |
| v7. | VILLAGE HEALTH FACILITIES | 30 |
| v8. | VILLAGE HOUSEHOLD WATER SUPPLY | 30 |
| V9. | VILLAGE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS | 31 |
| v10. | VILLAGE RICE BANKS | 32 |
| V11. | VILLAGE REVOLVING FUNDS | 32 |
| V12. | OPIUM ADDICTION/REHABILITATION AND OPIUM | |
| | CROP DESTRUCTION | 33 |
| v13. | AGRICULTURAL IRRIGATION PROJECTS IN THE VILLAGE | 34 |
| V14. | INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS (GOVERNMENT FUNDS) | 34 |
| | | |
| HOUS | EHOLD SURVEY | |
| н1. | TRIBAL DISTRIBUTION | 36 |
| | AGE DISTRIBUTION BY SEX AND AGE COHORT | 37 |
| Н2. | | 21 |
| нз. | HOUSEHOLDS WITH INDIVIDUALS MIGRATING IN OR OUT IN | 38 |

ν

List of Tables (Cont.)

| | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| Н4. | MARITAL STATUS (PERSONS AGE 15 AND OVER) | 39 |
| Н5. | HAVE GOVERNMENT IDENTIFICATION CARD (PERSONS AGE 15 AND OVER) | 40 |
| н6. | HOUSEHOLD REGISTRY OF HOUSEHOLD MEMBERS | 41 |
| Н7. | LAND TENURE STATUS | 42 |
| н8. | RELIGION | 43 |
| Н9. | CENTRAL AND NORTHERN THAI LANGUAGE ABILITY (PERSONS OVER 10) | 44 |
| Н10. | YEARS OF EDUCATION (AGE 15 AND OVER) | 45 |
| нј1. | HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH ONE OR MORE MEMBERS RECEIVED TRAINING | 46 |
| Н12. | ROOF AND WALL MATERIAL OF DWELLINGS | 47 |
| Н13. | HOUSEHOLDS OWNING SELECTED AGRICULTURAL IMPLEMENTS | 48 |
| Н14. | HOUSEHOLDS WITH SELECTED ASSETS | 49 |
| Н15. | ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME FROM EMPLOYMENT AS HIRED LABORER, OTHER SOURCES | 50 |
| Н16. | AVERAGE ANNUAL HOUSEHOLD EXPENSES BY TYPE | 50 |
| H17. | HOUSEHOLDS WITH ONE OR MORE MEMBERS USING FAMILY PLANNING | 51 |
| Н18. | HOUSEHOLDS WITH FEMALES USING FAMILY PLANNING BY TYPE | 52 |
| Н19. | HOUSEHOLDS WITH MALES USING FAMILY PLANNING BY TYPE | 53 |
| н20. | HOUSEHOLDS WITH TOILETS | 54 |
| H21A. | TOTAL DEATHS IN THE PAST YEAR BY CAUSE OF DEATH | 55 |
| н21в. | TOTAL BIRTHS AND INFANT DEATHS IN THE PAST YEAR | 55 |
| Н22. | HOUSEHOLDS IN WHICH ONE OR MORE MEMBERS AND | 56 |

List of Tables (Cont.)

| | [| 'age |
|------|--|------|
| н23. | HOUSEHOLDS WITH PERSONS STILL ILL WITH MALARIA | 57 |
| H24. | HOUSEHOLDS WITH OPIUM ADDICT | 58 |
| Н25. | HOUSEHOLDS ENGAGING IN SHIFTING CULTIVATION | 59 |
| Н26. | AVERAGE YEARS UPLAND FIELDS USED/FALLOWED | 60 |
| Н27. | AVERAGE TOTAL CULTIVATED AREA PER HOUSEHOLD | 60 |
| н28. | HOUSEHOLDS USING ANIMAL MANURE OF FERTILIZER | 61 |
| Н29. | HOUSEHOLDS WITH KITCHEN GARDENS | 62 |
| н30. | MONTHS HOUSEHOLD HAD INSUFFICIENT RICE | 63 |
| н31. | AVERAGE UPLAND RICE SEED PLANTED, YIELD AND YIELD/ PLANTED RATIO | 64 |
| н32. | AVERAGE PADDY RICE SEED PLANTED, YIELD AND YIELD/ PLANTED RATIO | 64 |
| н33. | AVERAGE AMOUNT AND VALUE OF RICE SOLD | 65 |
| н34. | AVERAGE AMOUNT AND VALUE OF RICE SOUGHT | 65 |
| н35. | AVERAGE AMOUNT AND VALUE OF RICE BORROWED | 66 |
| н36. | CHILI PRODUCTION | 67 |
| н37. | SESAME PRODUCTION | 67 |
| н38. | SOYBEAN PRODUCTION | 68 |
| нз9. | CORN PRODUCTION | 68 |
| Н40. | PUMPKIN PRODUCTION | 69 |
| H41. | VALUE OF OTHER FIELD CROPS SOLD | 69 |
| Н42. | MARKET SITE FOR CHILI, SESAME, SOYBEAN, MUNGBEAN, CORN, PUMPKIN | 70 |
| н43. | COFFEE PRODUCTION | 71 |
| Н44. | JACKFRUIT PRODUCTION | 71 |
| Н45. | MANGO PRODUCTION | 72 |

List of Tables (Cont.)

| | | | Page |
|------|----------------------|----------|------|
| H46. | PEACH PRODUCTION | | 72 |
| H47. | LIVESTOCK SITUATION: | CHICKENS | 73 |
| | | DUCKS | |
| | | PIGS | |
| | | GOATS | |
| Н51. | LIVESTOCK SITUATION: | CATTLE | 75 |
| Н52. | LIVESTOCK SITUATION: | BUFFALO | . 75 |
| H53. | LIVESTOCK SITUATION: | HORSES | 76 |