

บรรณานุกรม

- วิชญ ธรรมลิขิตกุล. (2540). กระบวนการวิจัยด้านวิทยาศาสตร์การแพทย์. กรุงเทพมหานคร: โรงพิมพ์เรือนแก้ว.
- Agur, A.M.R., & Dally II, A.F. (2009). *Grant's atlas of anatomy* (12nd ed.). Hong Kong : Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Brickley, M., & McKinley, J.I. (Ed.) (2004). *Guideline to the standards for recording Human Remains*. Southampton: BAAO, Department of Archaeology University of Southampton, page 24.
- Bruzek, J. (2002). A Method for visual determination of sex, using the human hip bone. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 117(2), 157–168.
- Buikstra, J.E., & Ubelaker, D.H. (Ed.) (1994). Standards for data collection from human skeletal remains. Fayetteville: Arkansas Archeological Survey Research Series No.44.
- Bytheway, J.A., & Ross, A.H. (2010). A geometric morphometric approach to sex determination of the human adult os coxa. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 55(4), 859–864.
- Coleman, W.H. (1969). Sex differences in the growth of the human bony pelvis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 31(2), 125–152.
- Day, M.H., & Pitcher-Wilmott, R.W. (1975). Sexual differentiation in the innominate bone studied by multivariate analysis. *Annals of Human Biology*, 2(2), 143–151.
- Isik, A.F., & Bahcelioğlu, M. (2003). The wisdom of bones in the assessment of sex for forensic medicine. *Gazi Medicine Journal*, 14(1), 1-5.

- Klales, A.R.(2013). Current practices in sex estimation for the adult skeleton. Electronic Survey (Doctoral dissertation, University of Manitoba in Winnipeg, MB Canada) Retrieved September 29, 2013, from http://www.academia.edu/3646201/Current_Practices_in_Physical_Anthropology_for_Sex_Estimation_in_Unidentified_Adult_Individuals
- Kales, A.R., Ousley, S.D., & Lollner, J.M. (2012). A revise method of sexing the human innominate using Phenice nonmetric traits and statistical methods. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 149(1), 104-114.
- Klales, A.R., Vollner, J.M., & Ousley, S.D. (2009). A new metric procedure for the estimation of sex and ancestry from the human innominate. [Abstract] *Proceeding American Academyof Forensic Sciences*, 15, 311.
- Kelley, M.A. (1978). Phenice's visual technique for the os pubis: acritique. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 48(1), 121–122.
- Krogman, W.M., & Iscan, M.Y. (1986). *The human skeleton in forensic medicine*. Springfield, IL: Chares C.Thomas.
- LaVelle, M. (1995). Natural selection and developmental sexual variation in the human pelvis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 98 (1), 59–72.
- Lovell, N.C. (1989). Test of Phenice's technique for determining sex from the os pubis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 79(1), 117–120.
- MacLaughlin, S.M, & Bruce, M.F. (1990). The accuracy of sex identification in European skeletal remains using the Phenice characters. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 35(6), 1384–1392.
- Marieb, E.N., Mallat, J., & Wilhelm, P.B. (2008). *Human anatomy* (5th ed.). Sanfrancisco, CA: Pearson Education.
- Mays,S., & Cox, M.(2000). Sex determination in skeletal remains. In Cox M, Mays S (Eds): *Human Osteology in Archaeology And Forensic Science*. London: Greenwich Medical Media Ltd; p.117-130.

- Meindl, R.S., Lovejoy, C.O., Mensforth, R.P., Mensforth,R.P., & Don Carlos, L. (1985). Accuracy and direction of error in the sexing of the skeleton: implications for paleodemography. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 68(1), 79-85.
- Patriquin, M.L., Steyn, M., & Loth, S.R. (2005). Metric analysis of sex differences in South African black and white pelvises. *Forensic Sciences International*, 147(2-3), 199–127.
- Patriquin, M.L., Loth, S.R., & Steyn, M. (2003). Sexually dimorphic pelvic morphology in South African whites and blacks. *HOMO - Journal of Comparative Human Biology*, 53(3), 255–262.
- Pelvis. (n.d.). Retrieved October 9, 2013, from
<http://www.pleasanton.k12.ca.us/fhsweb/cuozzo/Handouts/06skeletal/Images/13%20pelvis.jpg>
- Phenice, T.W. (1969). A newly developed visual method for sexing the os pubis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 30 (2), 297–302.
- Rogers, T., & Saunders, S. (1994). Accuracy of sex determination using morphological traits of the human pelvis. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 39(4), 1047–1056.
- Standing, S. (Ed.). (2008). *Gray's anatomy* (14th ed.). Spian:Churchill Livingstone Elsevier
- Standing, S. (Ed.). (2008). *Gray's anatomy* (14th ed.). Spian: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier
- Stewart, T.D. (1979). *Essentials of forensic anthropology*. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas.
- Study blue. (2014). *Anatomy exam 2*. Retrieved February 1, 2014, from
<http://www.studyblue.com/notes/note/n/anatomy-exam-2/deck/5931940>
- Sutherland, L.D., & Suchey, J.M. (1991). Use of the ventral arc in pubic sex determination. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 36(2), 501–511.

- Ubelaker, D.H., & Volk, C.G. (2002). A test of the Phenice method for the estimation of sex. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 47(1), 19–24.
- Walker, P.L. (2005). Greater sciatic notch morphology: sex, age, and population differences. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 127(4), 385–391.
- Washburn, S.L. (1948). Sex differences in the pubic bone. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 6(2), 199–208.